

Invariant star-products on symplectic manifolds

M. DE WILDE, P.B.A. LECOMTE, D. MELOTTE

Université de Liège, Institut de Mathématique
Avenue des Tilleuls, 15, B - 4000 Liège (Belgium)

Abstract. Let (M, F) be a symplectic manifold and consider a Lie subalgebra \mathfrak{G} of its Lie algebra of symplectic vector fields. We prove that every one-differentiable deformation of order k of the Poisson Lie algebra of M , which is invariant with respect to \mathfrak{G} , extends to an invariant one-differentiable deformation of infinite order. If M admits a \mathfrak{G} -invariant linear connection, a similar result holds true for differentiable deformations and for star-products. In particular, if M admits a \mathfrak{G} -invariant linear connection, there always exists a \mathfrak{G} -invariant star-product.

INTRODUCTION

Let M be a smooth connected Hausdorff second countable manifold equipped with a symplectic form F . We assume that $\dim M > 2$. Denote by \mathbf{L} the Lie algebra of symplectic vector fields of (M, F) .

Let \mathfrak{G} be a Lie subalgebra of \mathbf{L} . The aim of this paper is to study the formal deformations of the Poisson Lie algebra (N, P) where N is the space of all smooth real functions on M and P the Poisson bracket, and the star-products which are invariant by \mathfrak{G} .

This problem has already been considered by various authors, namely [6, 5, 1]. It is shown in [6] that, if there exists an invariant Vey star-product, then M admits an invariant symplectic connection. We prove here that the existence of an invariant linear connection implies that every invariant formal deformation of P or star-product of finite order extends to an invariant formal deformation of

Key Words: Symplectic manifold, deformations of the Poisson Lie algebra, star-products, invariance.

1980 Mathematics Subject Classification: 53 C 15.

P or star-product (of infinite order).

It is proved in [6], § 18, that, for the Hochschild cohomology of N , an invariant coboundary is the coboundary of an invariant cochain. However, using then an argument of the Neroslavsky-Vlassov type [8] leads to obstructions lying in the third invariant de Rham cohomology space of M . In this note, we avoid these obstructions essentially by adapting to the invariant case the proof of [2] of the existence of star-products on an arbitrary symplectic manifold. To do this, we need the description of the invariant Chevalley cohomology of (N, P) obtained in [3]. The adaptation is straightforward in the case of 1-differentiable deformations but more delicate in the general case.

We use the definitions and notations of [2].

THE MAP τ

Recall that $A_{\text{diff}, nc}^p(N)$ is the space of differential cochains on N (i.e. alternating $(p+1)$ -linear maps of N^{p+1} into N), vanishing on the constants (nc); $A_{\text{diff}}^p(\mathcal{H}(M), N)$ is the space of differential N -valued $(p+1)$ -cochains on the Lie algebra of all vector fields $\mathcal{H}(M)$.

Then $\mu^* : A_{\text{diff}}^p(\mathcal{H}(M), N) \rightarrow A_{\text{diff}, nc}^p(N)$ is defined by

$$\mu^*c(u_{0^*}, \dots, u_p) = c(H_{u_0}, \dots, H_{u_p})$$

where H_u is the Hamiltonian vector field of u .

One of the keys in [2] is the existence of a right inverse τ of μ^* for $p=1$, with appropriate additional properties. One more is required here: that τ preserves invariance.

Denote by ∂ and ∂' the Chevalley coboundary operators of $A_{\text{diff}}(N)$ and $A_{\text{diff}}(\mathcal{H}(M), N)$ and by S_Γ^3 and ϕ_Γ the Vey cocycle of $A_{\text{diff}, nc}^1(N)$ and the cocycle of $A_{\text{diff}}^1(\mathcal{H}(M), \Lambda^2(M))$ such that $S_\Gamma^3 = \mu^*\langle \Lambda, \phi_\Gamma \rangle$, where Λ is the contravariant analogue of F .

PROPOSITION 1. *Let M admit a \mathbb{G} -invariant connection Γ . Then there exists a linear map $\tau : A_{\text{diff}, nc}^1(N) \rightarrow A_{\text{diff}}^1(\mathcal{H}(M), N)$ such that*

- (i) $\mu^* \circ \tau = \text{id}$ on $A_{\text{diff}, nc}^1(N)$,
- (ii) $\tau \circ \mu^* = \text{id}$ on $\Lambda^2(M)$
- (iii) $\tau(fS_\Gamma^3) = f\langle \Lambda, \phi_\Gamma \rangle, \quad \forall f \in N$,
- (iv) $(\tau \circ \partial) A_{\text{diff}, nc}^0(N) \subset \text{im } \partial'$
- (v) if $C \in A_{\text{diff}, nc}^1(N)$ is \mathbb{G} -invariant, τC is \mathbb{G} -invariant.

It is known [6] that each $C \in A_{\text{diff},nc}^1(N)$ can be written (in a unique way)

$$C(u, v) = \sum_{p,q} \langle T^{p,q}, \nabla^p u \otimes \nabla^q v \rangle$$

where $T^{p,q}$ is a contravariant $(p+q)$ -tensor, symmetric in its first p and its last q arguments and where \langle , \rangle indicates the contraction of the first p indices of $T^{p,q}$ with $\nabla^p u$ and of the last one's with $\nabla^q v$; since ∇ , the covariant derivative corresponding to Γ , is invariant, C is invariant if and only if each $T^{p,q}$ is invariant. Thus $\tau' C$, obtained from C by replacing

$$\nabla^p u = \nabla^{p-1} du = \nabla^{p-1} i(H_u)F$$

by

$$X \rightarrow \nabla^{p-1} i(X)F$$

transforms an invariant element of $A_{\text{diff},nc}^1(N)$ into an invariant element of $A_{\text{diff},nc}^1(N)$, and τ' is a right inverse of μ^* . Unfortunately, it does not verify (iii) and (iv). Clearly, τ' can be defined similarly on each $A_{\text{diff},nc}^p(N)$.

Denote by I the subspace of all invariant elements of $A_{\text{diff},nc}^1(N)$ and set

$$A_{\text{diff},nc}^1(N) = [\partial A_{\text{diff},nc}^0(N) + I + \mu^* \Lambda^2(M) + N \cdot S_\Gamma^3] \oplus E_1.$$

We can write

$$\partial A_{\text{diff},nc}^0(N) + I + \mu^* \Lambda^2(M) + N \cdot S_\Gamma^3 = \mu^* \Lambda^2(M) \oplus N \cdot S_\Gamma^3 \oplus E_2 \oplus E_3 \oplus E_4$$

where $E_2 \subset I \cap \text{im } \partial$, $E_3 \subset \text{im } \partial \setminus I$, $E_4 \subset I \setminus \text{im } \partial$.

We now define τ as follows:

- (i) on E_1 , τ is any right inverse of μ^* ,
- (ii) on E_4 , $\tau = \tau'$,
- (iii) on E_3 , τ is defined as in [2],
- (iv) on $\mu^* \Lambda^2(M)$, $\tau \circ \mu^* \Omega = \Omega$,
- (v) on NS_Γ^3 , $\tau(fS_\Gamma^3) = f \langle \Lambda, \phi_\Gamma \rangle$,
- (vi) on E_2 : according to [3], prop. 7.1., if $C = \partial D \in A_{\text{diff},nc}^1(N)$ is invariant, $C = \partial(E + \mu^* \omega)$, where E is invariant and $\omega \in \Lambda^1(M)$. There exist thus $k_1 : E_2 \rightarrow A_{\text{diff},nc}^0(N)$ and $k_2 : E_2 \rightarrow \Lambda^1(M)$ such that $k_1 E_2$ is made of invariant elements and $\partial \circ (k_1 + \mu^* \circ k_2) = \text{id}$ on E_2 . Set $\tau = \partial' \circ \tau' \circ k_1 + \partial' \circ k_2$.

Then τ verifies all the required properties.

THE ONE-DIFFERENTIABLE CASE

Recall that a *formal differential deformation of order k of P* is a formal series

$\mathcal{L}_\nu = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \nu^i C_i$ of differential bilinear maps $C_i : N \times N \rightarrow N$, vanishing on the constants and such that the formal Jacobi identity is verified up to the order k . In other words, $[\cdot, \cdot]$ denoting the Nijenhuis-Richardson bracket, the components of ν^i ($i \leq k$) in $[\mathcal{L}_\nu, \mathcal{L}_\nu]$ are vanishing.

The first result in [2] essentially shows the following. If \mathcal{L}_ν is a differential deformation of P and if Ω is closed, the differential deformation of order k $\mathcal{L}_\nu + \nu^k \mu^* \Omega$ extends to a differential deformation. The same holds true within the class of invariant deformations. The results of [2] are stated for local deformations but apply trivially to differential deformations.

THEOREM 2. *Assume that M admits a \mathbb{G} -invariant linear connection. Let \mathcal{L}_ν be a differential \mathbb{G} -invariant deformation of P and let Ω be a closed \mathbb{G} -invariant 2-form. Define inductively \mathbb{I}_ν^k ($k \in \mathbb{N}$) by $\mathbb{I}_\nu^0 = \mathcal{L}_\nu$ and*

$$\ell \mathbb{I}_\nu^\ell|_U = \sum_{p+q=\ell-1} [\mathbb{I}_\nu^p, \mu^* i(X) \tau \mathbb{I}_\nu^q]|_U$$

whenever $\Omega = \text{di}(X)F$ on the open subset U of M . Then each \mathbb{I}_ν^k is globally defined, \mathbb{G} -invariant, and

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu^k \mathbb{I}_\nu^k$$

is a differential deformation in μ of \mathcal{L}_ν . In particular,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \nu^{kt} \mathbb{I}_\nu^k$$

is a \mathbb{G} -invariant deformation of P equal to $\mathcal{L}_\nu + \nu^t \mu^* \Omega$ at the order t .

The only point added to thm. 2.1. in [2] is the \mathbb{G} -invariance. We prove it by induction on ℓ . Since Ω is invariant, for $Y \in \mathbb{G}$, on the open subset U ,

$$0 = L_Y \Omega = L_Y \text{di}(X) F = \text{di}(L_Y X) F = L_{L_Y X} F.$$

Thus $L_Y X \in \mathbb{L}$. Assume now that \mathbb{I}_ν^p is \mathbb{G} -invariant for $p < \ell$. Then, since \mathbb{I}_ν^q and $\tau \mathbb{I}_\nu^q$ are invariant, if U is contractible and $L_Y X = H_u$ on U ,

$$\begin{aligned} \ell L_Y \mathbb{I}_\nu^\ell|_U &= \sum_{p+q=\ell-1} [\mathbb{I}_\nu^p, \mu^* i(L_Y X) \tau \mathbb{I}_\nu^q] = \\ &= \frac{1}{2} i(u) \sum_{p+q=\ell-1} [\mathbb{I}_\nu^p, \mathbb{I}_\nu^q] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

since $\Sigma \mu^k \mathbb{L}_\nu^k$ is a formal deformation of \mathcal{L}_ν .

Remark 3. As mentioned in [2], if \mathcal{L}_ν is 1-differentiable, so are all the \mathbb{L}_ν^k 's. In this case, we make use of τ only on $\mu^* \Lambda^2(M)$, where it is uniquely defined by $\mu^* \circ \tau = \text{id}$. Thus *thm. 2. holds true for 1-differentiable deformations without the assumption that M admits a \mathbb{G} -invariant connection.* As in [2], it follows that *every \mathbb{G} -invariant 1-differentiable deformation of order k extends to a \mathbb{G} -invariant 1-differentiable deformation.*

INVARIANT DIFFERENTIAL DEFORMATIONS WITH DRIVER $P + \nu r S_\Gamma^3$

The coefficient of ν in a differential deformation of P is a cocycle of $A_{\text{loc}, nc}^1(N)$, thus it has the form $C = r S_\Gamma^3 + \mu^* \Omega + \partial E$. A basic step in constructing a differential deformation equal to $P + \nu C$ at the order 1 is to obtain a differential deformation equal to $P + \nu r S_\Gamma^3$ at the order 1. Its existence is granted by *thm. 3.3.* of [2] and what we are going to prove now is that, if Γ is invariant, the differential deformation constructed in [2] is also invariant.

THEOREM 4. *Assume that M admits a \mathbb{G} -invariant linear connection. Then, given $r \in \mathbb{R}_0$, there exists a unique differential deformation \mathcal{L}_ν of P with driver $P \mp + \nu r S_\Gamma^3$, such that*

$$(1) \quad \partial_\nu \theta + \mathbb{L}_\nu^1(\mathcal{L}_\nu, F) = 0,$$

where $\mathbb{L}_\nu^1(\mathcal{L}_\nu, F)$ is defined as in *thm. 2* for $\Omega = F$ and θ is the map

$$\Sigma \nu^k u_k \rightarrow \Sigma (2k - 1) \nu^k u_k.$$

Moreover, this \mathcal{L}_ν is \mathbb{G} -invariant.

The result is proved in [2], *thm. 3.3*, except the invariance of $\mathcal{L}_\nu = \Sigma \nu^k C_k$. We will prove by induction on k that C_k is invariant. It is true for $k = 0, 1$. As in [2], ξ is defined on a contractible open subset U by $F|_U = \text{di}(\xi)F$.

Recall that a 2-cocycle C has a unique decomposition $C = r' S_\Gamma^3 + \mu^* D$, where D is a cocycle; thus $C = \mu^* \hat{C}$, where $\hat{C} = r' \langle \Lambda, \phi_\Gamma \rangle + D$. The cocycle D is not unique but, whatever it is, $\mu^* i(\xi) \partial' \hat{C} = -r' S_\Gamma^3$. Thus $pC + \mu^* i(\xi) \partial' \hat{C} = 0$ implies $C = 0$ provided $p \neq 0$ and 1.

Consider now C_k . The relation (1) implies that

$$L_\xi C_k + (2k + 1)C_k - \partial \mu^* i(\xi) \tau C_k = \sum_{\substack{p+q=k \\ p, q > 0}} [C_p, \mu^* i(\xi) \tau C_q]$$

and standard computations (see [2], lemma 3.1) reduce this equality to

$$(2k-1)C_k + \mu^*i(\xi)\partial'\tau C_k = \sum_{\substack{p+q=k \\ p,q>0}} [C_p, \mu^*i(\xi)\tau C_q].$$

Apply $L_X(X \in \mathbb{G})$ to both sides. If $X = H_u$ on U , $L_X \xi = H_{u-L_\xi u}$. We thus obtain, assuming that C_p is invariant for $p < k$,

$$(2) \quad (2k-1)L_X C_k + \mu^*i(\xi)\partial L_X \tau C_k = -\frac{1}{2}i(u-L_\xi u)[L_\nu, L_\nu]_k = 0.$$

On the other hand, ∂C_k is invariant. Thus, by [3], prop. 8.1.

$$C_k = fS_\Gamma^3 + \mu^*\Omega + E + \partial E'$$

where E , df and $d\Omega$ are invariant. Then

$$\tau C_k = f\langle \Lambda, \phi_\Gamma \rangle + \Omega + \tau E + \tau \partial E'$$

where τE is invariant and $\tau \partial E'$ is a coboundary and

$$\mu^*L_X \tau C_k = L_X f \cdot S_\Gamma^3 + \mu^*(L_X \Omega + L_X \tau \partial E'),$$

where $L_X f$ is constant and $L_X(\Omega + \tau \partial E')$ is a cocycle.

Since $2k-1 > 1$, (2) implies that $L_X C_k = 0$. Hence the result.

THE GENERAL CASE

THEOREM 5. *Let M admit a \mathbb{G} -invariant linear connection. Then every invariant formal deformation of P of any order is the driver of an invariant deformation of P .*

We refer to the proof of thm. 3.4 of [2] and observe that every invariant 2-cocycle can be written $rS_\Gamma^3 + \mu^*\eta + \partial T$, where η and T are invariant. The proof is then entirely similar to the above-mentioned one, using thm. 2 and 4.

STAR-PRODUCTS

A differential *weak star-product (resp. star-product)* of order k is a series $M_\lambda = \sum \lambda^i C_i$ of differential bilinear maps $C_i : N \times N \rightarrow N$ symmetric (resp. and *nc*) for i even ≥ 2 , antisymmetric and *nc* for i odd, such that $C_0 = \mathfrak{m} : (u, v) \rightarrow uv$, $C_1 = P$ and such that M_λ is associative up to the order k .

It is shown in [6], §5 that if M_λ is a weak star-product of order k , its terms

$C_{2i} (2i < k)$ take the form $C_{2i} = \bar{C}_{2i} + a_i \mathbf{m}$ with $a_i \in \mathbb{R}$ and \bar{C}_i *nc*. Denote by \mathbb{P}_λ the set of all formal series $1 + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i \lambda^i$ ($a_i \in \mathbb{R}$). It is then easily seen that each weak star-product M_λ can be factorized in a unique way

$$(3) \quad M_\lambda = p(\lambda^2) \bar{M}_\lambda$$

where $p(\lambda) \in \mathbb{P}_\lambda$ and \bar{M}_λ is a star-product.

From each weak star-product (resp. of order $2k$) M_λ derives a formal deformation of P (resp. of order $k - 1$)

$$\mathcal{L}_\nu^M(u, v) = \frac{1}{2\nu} [M_\lambda(u, v) - M_\lambda(v, u)]_{\lambda=\nu^2}.$$

Conversely, a formal deformation $\mathcal{L}_\nu = \sum \nu^i C_{2i+1}$ derives from a (unique) weak star-product if and only if

$$C_3 = \frac{1}{3!} S_\Gamma^3 + \mu^* \Omega + \partial E,$$

where $d\Omega = 0$ ([4], thm. 3.5).

It follows from (3) that, if \mathcal{L}_ν derives from M_λ , M_λ is a star product if and only if \mathcal{L}_ν has no divisor in $\mathbb{P}_\nu \setminus \{1\}$.

The existence of invariant star-products is now ruled by the following theorem. The case of weak star-products is easily deduced by (3).

THEOREM 6. *Assume that M admits a \mathbb{G} -invariant linear connection Γ . Then every invariant differential star-product of order $2k$ is the driver of order $2k$ of an invariant star-product. In particular, M admits at least one invariant star-product.*

Let $M_\lambda^k = \sum_{i=0}^{2k} \lambda^i C_i$ be an invariant differential star-product of order $2k$.

Assume first that $k > 1$. If \mathcal{L}_ν^{k-1} derived from M_λ^k , it is invariant and thus it extends to an invariant \mathcal{L}_ν . This \mathcal{L}_ν derives from a weak star-product $M_\lambda = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \lambda^i C'_i$.

It is easily seen that M_λ is differential. It is invariant. Indeed, with the notations of [4], if $X \in \mathbb{G}$

$$0 = L_X(M_\lambda \Delta M_\lambda) = 2 M_\lambda \Delta L_X M_\lambda$$

and $L_X M_\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda^{2i} L_X C'_{2i}$. Thus, by [4], cor. 3.7, $L_X M_\lambda = 0$.

We have now to check if M_λ extends M_λ^k .

If $i < k - 1$, $C'_{2i} = C_{2i}$. This is proved by induction on i as follows. If it is

true for $j < k - 2$, we have

$$P \Delta (C_{2j+2} - C'_{2j+2}) = 0,$$

$$\mathbf{m} \Delta (C_{2j+4} - C'_{2j+4}) + C_2 \Delta (C_{2j+2} - C'_{2j+2}) = 0,$$

$$P \Delta (C_{2j+4} - C'_{2j+4}) + C_3 \Delta (C_{2j+2} - C'_{2j+2}) = 0$$

hence, by [4], lemma 3.6, $C_{2j+2} = C'_{2j+2}$.

For $i = k - 1$, we only have

$$P \Delta (C_{2k-2} - C'_{2k-2}) = 0$$

thus, by the same lemma,

$$C_{2k-2} = C'_{2k-2} + a \mathbf{m} \quad (a \in \mathbb{R}).$$

Replacing M_λ by $(1 - a\lambda^{2k-2})M_\lambda$, we obtain $C'_i = C_i$ for $i \leq 2k - 2$, but now $C'_{2k-1} = C_{2k-1} - aP$.

Define

$$\pi : \Sigma \lambda^k u_k \rightarrow \Sigma k \lambda^k u_k.$$

Then $\text{Ad}(\exp \lambda^t b \pi) M_\lambda$ is a new weak star-product equal to M_λ up to the order t and its term of order $t + 1$ is $C'_{t+1} + bP$. Thus replacing again M_λ by $\text{Ad}(\exp \lambda^{2k} a \pi) M_\lambda$, we obtain a new M_λ equal to M_λ^k up to the order $2k - 1$ and still invariant.

For the term of order $2k$, we have now

$$\mathbf{m} \Delta (C'_{2k} - C_{2k}) = 0$$

hence $C'_{2k} - C_{2k}$ is a Hochschild 2-cocycle. Being symmetric, it is a coboundary. It is moreover invariant. By [6], it is of the type $\mathbf{m} \Delta T$ for some invariant T . Transforming now M_λ by $\text{Ad}(1 + \lambda^{2k} T)$, we finally obtain a weak star-product M_λ equal to M_λ^k up to the order $2k$ and invariant. The related starproduct \bar{M}_λ is still invariant and equal to M_λ^{2k} up to the order $2k$, hence the result.

If $k = 1$, according to [6], $M_\lambda^1 = \mathbf{m} + \lambda P + \frac{1}{2} \lambda (P_\Gamma^2 + \mathbf{m} \Delta T)$ where T may be chosen invariant. Then M_λ^1 can be extended by $M_\lambda^1 + \lambda^3 \left(\frac{1}{3!} S_\Gamma^3 + P \Delta T \right)$ and the argument developed for $k > 1$ can be applied without changes.

If $k = 0$, \mathbf{m} extends first by $\mathbf{m} + \lambda P + \frac{1}{2} \lambda^2 P_\Gamma^2$.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. ARNAL, J.C. CORTET, P. MOLIN, G. PINCZON, *Covariance and geometric invariance in \ast -quantization*, J. Math. Phys., **24**, 2, 1983, pp. 276 - 283.
- [2] M. DE WILDE, P.B.A. LECOMTE, *Existence of star-products and of formal deformations of the Poisson Lie algebra of arbitrary symplectic manifolds*, Lett. in Math. Phys., **7**, 1983, pp. 487 - 496.
- [3] M. DE WILDE, P.B.A. LECOMTE, D. MELOTTE, *Invariant cohomology of the Poisson Lie algebra of a symplectic manifold*, to appear.
- [4] M. DE WILDE, P.B.A. LECOMTE, *Existence of star-products on exact manifolds*, to appear in Ann. Inst. Fourier, **35**, 2, 1985.
- [5] S. GUTT, *Déformations formelles de l'algèbre des fonctions différentiables sur une variété symplectique*, Doctor thesis, Brussels 1979.
- [6] A. LICHNEROWICZ, *Déformations d'algèbres associées à une variété symplectique (les \ast_v -produits)*, Ann. Inst. Fourier, **32**, 1982, 157 - 209.
- [7] A. LICHNEROWICZ, *Sur les algèbres formelles associées par déformation à une variété symplectique*, Ann. di Math., **123**, 1980, 287 - 330.
- [8] O.M. NEROSLAVSY, A.T. VLASSOV, *Existence de produits \ast sur une variété*, C.R. Acad. Sc. Paris, I, **292**, 1981, 71.
- [9] J. VEY, *Déformation du Crochet de Poisson d'une variété symplectique*, Comm. Math. Helv., **50**, 1975, 421 - 454.

Manuscript received: November 3, 1984.